

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/501,066

Applicant(s)

RICCOTTA ET AL.

Examiner

Jason-Dennis Stewart

Art Unit

3709

All Participants:(1) Jason-Dennis Stewart.(2) John Gallagher III.**Status of Application:** in condition for allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 October 2007**Time:** 3:45 PM**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

18-22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: proposing an examiner's admendment to claims 18-22 that would put the application in condition for allowance by aligning the subject matter claimed in method claim 18 with subject matter claimed in apparatus claim 1. Claims 19 and 21 are cancelled. The limitations of claims 19 and 21 are incorporated into claim 18. Claims 20 and 22 become dependent from claim 18.



EHUD GARTENBERG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

10/30/07